

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ INTERNAL  
USE ONLY☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Re Your Request dated 12 August - Efficiency Evaluations

FROM: Acting Chief  
Information Systems Security Group  
Office of Security

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

13 JUL 1976

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. OS/PPG  
Attn:   
2. 4E-58, Hqs.

STAT

I would suggest removal of your current item 7 on the listing of Efficiency Evaluation Subject. The reasoning behind this is the possible difficulty in responding to future questions which I expect would follow - if it became a selected subject.

If additional subject items are needed, the Top Secret Control Automated Data System (TSCADS) and the Top Secret Control (TSC) Program may be appropriate for consideration. It is expected that the TSC program using TSCADS will provide increased effectiveness and efficiency in all phases of Top Secret control.

If further elaboration is needed on either of these subjects, please let us know so we can "lay some appropriate words on you".

10.

STAT

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

☐ SECRET☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ INTERNAL  
USE ONLY☐ UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFIED

INTERNAL  
USE ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

Approved For Release 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000400040027-1

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Efficiency Evaluations  
STAT

FROM:

Policy and Plans Group  
4E 58, Hqs.

STAT

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

12 August 1976

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

C/SSC

12 Aug 76 BR

Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects.

2.

PPG

3.

4E 58 Hqs.

The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definition of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of achieving cost savings or a more efficient approach or creating a less burdensome way to achieve program objectives.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

Please review the list and determine if there are suggestions for efficiency evaluations you want to add or if there are any among those listed you believe should be deleted. You may disregard para. 3 of memo from C/MAS/DDA.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

Please provide your response to AC/PPG by COB 13 August 1976.

No accumulation

STAT

# ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Efficiency Evaluation 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000400040027-1

STAT

FROM:

Policy and Plans Group  
4E 58, Hqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

12 August 1976

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. DD/PTOS

12 AUG 1976

Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects.

2. A C/TSD

12 Aug 76

The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definition of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of achieving cost savings or a more efficient approach or creating a less burdensome way to achieve program objectives.

4. P & PG / OS  
4E 58 Hqs

Please review the list and determine if there are suggestions for efficiency evaluations you want to add or if there are any among those listed you believe should be deleted. You may disregard para. 3 of memo from C/MAS/DDA.

10. Please provide your response to AC/PPG by COB 13 August 1976.

12 Aug 76  
TSD has no suggestions for change

14. STAT

Phys D also  
no suggestion  
per C/Phys D 13 Aug 76

☐ UNCLASSIFIED ☐ INTERNAL USE ONLY ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☐ SECRET

Approved For Release 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP85B00823R000400040027-1

SUBJECT: (Optional) Efficiency Evaluations  
STAT

FROM: [Redacted]  
Policy and Plans Group  
4E 58, Hqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

12 August 1976

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

DD/PSI

8/12/76

28

Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects.

2.

PSI-Foe

8/13/76

h

The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definition of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of achieving cost savings or a more efficient approach or creating a less burdensome way to achieve program objectives.

3.

Per handle

4.

5.

6.

7.

C/PPG-alt

STAT

Please review the list and determine if there are suggestions for efficiency evaluations you want to add or if there are any among those listed you believe should be deleted. You may disregard para. 3 of memo from C/MAS/DDA.

8.

9.

10.

Please provide your response to AC/PPG by COB 13 August 1976.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

2-7-  
Agree with  
your listing  
MSJ

Approved For Release 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP80B00823R000400040027-1				
SUBJECT: (Optional) <b>Efficiency Evaluations</b> <b>STAT</b>				
FROM: <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> </span> Policy and Plans Group 4E 58, Hqs.		EXTENSION:	NO.	
			DATE: 12 August 1976 <b>STAT</b>	
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)		DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS
		RECEIVED	FORWARDED	
1. C/IRG				<p>                     Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects.                 </p> <p>                     The Policy &amp; Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definition of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of achieving cost savings or a more efficient approach or creating a less burdensome way to achieve program objectives.                 </p> <p>                     Please review the list and determine if there are suggestions for efficiency evaluations you want to add or if there are any among those listed you believe should be deleted. You may disregard para. 3 of memo from C/MAS/DDA.                 </p> <p>                     Please provide your response to AC/PPG by <u>COB 13 August 1976</u>.                 </p> <p style="font-size: 1.2em; margin-top: 20px;"> <i>1-2 Negative response</i> </p> <p style="font-size: 1.2em; margin-top: 20px;"> <i>C/PPG also negative</i> </p>
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

Approved For Release 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP85B00823R000400040027-1

SUBJECT: (Optional)  
 STAT Efficiency Evaluations

FROM:   
 Policy and Plans Group  
 4E 58, Hqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE 12 August 1976

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

1. C/SRD

8/12 8/12 *me*

Please review the attached memoranda from C/MAS/DDA and the Comptroller relative to suggestions for efficiency evaluation subjects.

The Policy & Plans Group has prepared a listing of possible suggestions, also attached, based upon our review of our FY 78 Program Submission and MBO Objectives. We believe that each of these items would fit the definition of efficiency evaluation by determining if there are ways of achieving cost savings or a more efficient approach or creating a less burdensome way to achieve program objectives.

Please review the list and determine if there are suggestions for efficiency evaluations you want to add or if there are any among those listed you believe should be deleted. You may disregard para. 3 of memo from C/MAS/DDA.

Please provide your response to AC/PPG by COB 13 August 1976.

11. *AC/PPG*

*⑪ No additions or deletions*

STAT



STAT

Approved For Release 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000400040027-1

Approved For Release 2002/08/15 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000400040027-1